

Discussion of:

**”The impact of political convergence on
financial integration”**

by

M-C. Beaulieu, M-H. Gagnon and L. Khalaf

Caio Almeida

Getulio Vargas Foundation

NFA, Winnipeg, September 26, 2010

Summary with discussion

- ▶ Test how political parties / political convergence affects market integration in North America (U.S. and Canada).
 - ▶ Important elements to be defined:
 - ▶ Measure of political convergence: ideological closeness of two incumbent parties.
 - ▶ Measure of market integration: International CAPM (Mittoo (1992), Jorion and Schwartz (1986)).
 - ▶ Mechanism through which political parties/political convergence affects market integration: Changes in results of Hypothesis tests for domestic systematic risk.
- ▶ The parameters of the Asset Pricing Model are not estimated but inverted from the Hotelling Statistic.
- ▶ They find evidence that political convergence and American political parties affect market integration.
- ▶ By using the inversion technique they find stronger evidence of market integration than previous papers.

How to measure market integration/segmentation?

- ▶ The authors adopt one specific asset pricing model, namely a version of the international CAPM.
 - ▶ Can it really deliver a reliable measure of market integration?
 - ▶ Inverting from the Hotelling statistic to identify model parameters for the international CAPM doesn't imply that this model is the most appropriate way of measuring market integration...
- ▶ Incipient papers in this literature have adopted the international CAPM (Jorion and Schwartz, 1986) but Mittoo (1992) already advocates in favor of multiple models.
- ▶ A very interesting measure of market integration is provided by Chen and Knez (1995)
 - ▶ Their measure is independent of any asset pricing model.
 - ▶ It depends on the family of admissible stochastic discount factors for each market under consideration.
 - ▶ Based on the Hansen and Jagannathan (1991, 1992) distance.

What is the main contribution of the paper?

- ▶ Given that results are based on a unique APM, in my view the main contribution is methodological in econometrics.
- ▶ The inversion of the test statistic to obtain confidence interval for the APM parameters is very useful!
- ▶ Question: How much do you rely on the assumption that the error terms have normal distribution in the international CAPM model?
 - ▶ Apparently this is important to invert the Hotelling statistic from an F distribution.
 - ▶ Could either estimate the model or simply run a multiple regression with intercept of $R_i - R_f$ on $R_I - R_f$ and V_{D-I} to verify the normality assumption...
 - ▶ Wouldn't a bootstrap procedure applied to obtain the Wald test be a competitor to the inversion technique?

Testing political influence with a more flexible measure of market integration

- ▶ To derive their measure, Chen and Knez (1995) only rely on the Law of One Price (assets with the same future payoffs should have the same price).
- ▶ Therefore, for perfect market integration there should exist a SDF that is common to both markets.
- ▶ Measure of market integration is the minimum distance between the two sets of admissible stochastic discount factors.
- ▶ Could project returns using your political dummy variables and repeat the distance calculation.
- ▶ It is also possible to work with the version that guarantees no-arbitrage in both economies.

Other Comments

- ▶ Would be nice to have the international CAPM model fully derived in the text.
- ▶ Claim that “the article uncovers a political puzzle broader than the “Presidential Puzzle” (Santa-Clara and Valkanov (2003))”.
 - ▶ The results in the paper are qualitative since they indicate changes in results of hypothesis tests rather than quantitative changes in returns.
 - ▶ The Presidential Puzzle above appears because they can not explain how changing presidency from Republican to Democratic increases realized returns in 9% per year!