

Discussion of:

”The fourth-quarter consumption growth rate:
A pure-macro, not-estimated stock return
predictor that works in-sample and
out-of-sample”

by

Stig V. Moller, and Jesper Rangvid

Caio Almeida

Getulio Vargas Foundation

WFA, June 21, 2010

Main Results

- ▶ Analyze the time series properties of the fourth-quarter consumption growth rate as a predictor of expected excess returns on stocks.
 - ▶ This variable works better than all the previously adopted predictors both in-sample and out-of-sample.
 - ▶ Out-of-sample it predicts better than the updated historical mean of excess returns (Goyal and Welch (2008)).
- ▶ Several robustness tests are performed to convince the readers that the measure is in fact effective.
- ▶ The measure is motivated by an alignment between consumption and investment decisions in the fourth quarter.

How useful are the results obtained in this paper?

- ▶ The authors obtain a pure macroeconomic variable that forecasts future stock returns. Can we make use of that?
 - ▶ 1) Can we profit using their measure to time the market?
 - ▶ Propose portfolios based on their consumption measure but taking into account market transaction costs.
 - ▶ 2) Use this particular consumption growth rate to possibly improve results obtained by existing models:
 - ▶ Estimate the consumption-wealth ratio (cay) model of Lettau and Ludvigson (2001).
 - ▶ Estimation of the long-run consumption risk model (current project by Bansal, Kiku and Yaron (2010)).
 - ▶ 3) Make use of theory to give further strength to the predictability evidence.
 - ▶ Use the standard SDF approach on consumption based models to derive further relation between expected returns and the consumption growth rate.

Should we expect a linear relationship between consumption growth and expected returns?

- ▶ In this paper, predictive regressions suppose a linear relationship.
- ▶ However, from the Euler equation of a traditional CCAPM model we obtain:

$$E_t(R_{t+1}^e) = -R_t^f * cov_t\left(\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t}\right)^\gamma, R_{t+1}^e\right) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Therefore basic theory suggests a nonlinear relationship between consumption growth and expected returns.
- ▶ Considering nonlinear case may improve even more the R^2 's.
 - ▶ Cost: introduction of a risk-aversion parameter to be estimated.
 - ▶ One possibility: Test predictability with some fixed values for the risk-aversion coefficient based on a grid.

Nonparametric nonlinear consumption-based SDFs

- ▶ Almeida and Garcia (2008) propose a generalization of the Hansen and Jagannathan (HJ, 1991) approach based on convex discrepancy measures.
- ▶ Given a set of basis assets R , while the linear projections of HJ obtained a linear SDF on basis assets that would price those assets...
- ▶ The SDFs obtained by Almeida and Garcia are hyperbolic functions of basis assets:

$$\hat{m}_{MD}(R) = \beta * \left(1 + \gamma \hat{\lambda}'_{opt} \left(R - \frac{1}{a} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ One possibility to test for nonlinearities would be to use this approach considering the fourth-quarter consumption growth rate as a primitive return.
- ▶ Our discrepancy measures are parameterized by γ , which has an interpretation of average risk-aversion coefficient.

Robustness of Results

- ▶ In-sample tests should be performed recursively.
 - ▶ Considering a number of sub-samples reassures that the finding is not particular to the period analyzed.
 - ▶ The dynamics of expected returns on equities could be changing across time therefore changing significance of the f-c-g-r as predictor.
 - ▶ Evidence: When start the o-o-s analysis in the 1990s, the predictability results are much less effective.
- ▶ More careful comparison with the cay measure of Lettau and Ludvigson (2001).
 - ▶ Re-estimate the cointegration vector recursively.
 - ▶ Use the fourth-quarter consumption measure to estimate cay.
 - ▶ Compare differences in R^2 's by bootstrap.